

APPLES.
Fresh Apples to arrive
every day.
Leave Your Orders Early,
Supply & Demand
W. H. K.


Calgary Weekly Herald

AND ALBERTA LIVE STOCK JOURNAL.

VOL. VIII, Number 6.

CALGARY, ALBERTA, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 1890,


Two Dollars a Year



Our SPECTACLE DEPARTMENT
carried by it enables the fitting of the most delicate spectacles. CALL and get a pair of COLORED GLASSES to protect the weak eyes from the sun.

OUR REPAIRING DEPARTMENT
is conducted under the management of the most Skilled Workmen, and all work guaranteed.

L. H. DOLL.



WATC
HES
JEWELRY
DIAMONDS.
You can save from 10 to 20 per cent. by purchasing from JACQUES THE JEWELER. We have on hand the largest and finest assortment of

Gold and Silver Watches,
Diamonds, Silverware,
Jewelry and Optical Goods,
Ever Shown in Calgary.

Our repairing department is under the management of Mr. MACDONNELL of Toronto, an expert watchmaker, jeweler and optician of sixteen years experience. All work guaranteed first class.

JACQUES, THE JEWELER.

FREE ADVICE
Don't put your hands in your trouser pockets, whistle "Annie Laurie" and expect to keep warm these Fall days.

Much more practical to purchase a comfortable suit of clothes and underwear from our new stock, and be happy.

Nothing gives a man such an air of comfortable respectability as a good stylish suit.

There is nothing small about RANKIN AND ALLEN'S new stock of Clothing, Underwear, Shirts, Gloves and Mitts, except the price, and that is exceedingly minute.

By selecting now you secure the pick.

FOR ALL MEN.

PIANOS & ORGANS!

Don't buy a Piano or Organ before you have seen and heard the Unrivalled KARIN Instruments.

These are of the Highest Grade and Excellence possessing New and Important Features not contained in any other piano which is giving them the first place wherever they are introduced.

J. B. ESHLEMAN,
THE COMPANY'S AGENT, WILL OPEN

A MUSIC EMPORIUM,
One Door East of Doll's Jewelry Store,
in a Few Days.

Lovers of Sweet Music and the public generally, are cordially invited to call and see for themselves

Pianos and Organs Tuned and Regulated at Short Notice and Moderate Charges. J. B. ESHLEMAN

JUST WHAT U WANT

IS ONE OF OUR OVERCOATS THAT WE ARE OFFERING AT \$3.50.

You May Search

THE CITY OVER AND YOU WILL FAIL TO FIND THE BARGAINS WE ARE OFFERING.

A Great Bargain Feast

FOR CALGARY, MENS AND BOYS' OVERCOATS,
FUR CAPS, MITTS, GLOVES, MOCCASINS,
WINTER BOOTS, OVERSHOES, ETC.

Our Bargain Counter

IS BEING INCREASED EVERY DAY WITH LOTS OF GOODS. A LARGE LINE OF

Ladies' and Misses' Button Overshoes!

DON'T MISS THE CHANCE TO OBTAIN CHEAP GOODS AT THE

POST OFFICE BLOCK STORE

G. C. KING & Co.

REGINA.

The Majority Won Decided Last Year's Municipal School Recession.

Regina, Nov. 16.—The resolution on reorganization was moved by Richardson, seconded by McRae. It practically agreed to the report of the Board of Education, which recommended that the Dominion Government give the Assembly power deal with the question.

Richardson in speaking to the resolution referred to its importance to the whole country. It should not be lost sight of that the school question is one of national importance. It did not propose to curtail anybody's privileges, but simply asked that the Assembly be given full control to deal with the matter as it thought best. He said that the question was important. He appealed to the House to give the measure careful consideration as last year.

Cayley said he did not propose to enter on the merits of the motion at all. He had run up for housemen. He had taken a certain position and did not want to be compelled to change it. He said the Assembly was not in a position to make representations to Ottawa. If the gentlemen who were acting in good faith let him resign his position.

Bots said the junior member for Calgary had taken a very cheap way of getting into the debate. He said he was evidently prepared to do what he could to avail himself of his convictions for the sake of his party. It would be wise in the interests of education and in the interest of the Dominion to give the Assembly power to deal with the school question as it stands at present.

The action of Cayley and his friends in voting this down would put a weapon in the hands of the upholders of separate schools in the House of Commons. He said my resolution was based on delicate grounds and I hoped to set myself straight with that view. That was his distrust which condemned him twelve months ago.

Houleau said he did not intend

to support any amendment to the bill.

He was never hoodwinked into

supporting such a motion.

Ministers had rights and privilege; and they should not be trampled on. He did not think there was any principle in such action as that the member for Calgary was the exponent of. He did not believe majorities should be allowed to do as they liked.

Bots said it surprised him that so important a question was to be so lightly disposed of.

He said the bill was introduced by Mr. Cayley for Calgary. Those gentlemen who

made declaratory statement in favor of

what they termed full control should be

left to object to his colleague's motion.

He did not think it was right to control

education. Although the bill was passed,

which the majority had done, it was

used to defeat useful legislation on life

insurance, prairie fires, fences and ten

person, it was broken through to allow a number of reforms to be introduced.

In the estimation of the speaker the momentum was permanent to all others.

He accused Cayley of voting

one way on this question last session

and another the next.

He then adjourned, sending the Attorney

Council, and Richardson closed the

debate.

Richardson said the bill was

furthered by a number of amendments.

It was voted to sustain the bill.

Cayley's municipal bill was furthered

but delayed by a number of

amendments.

Bots said the bill was introduced by Mr. Cayley.

Richardson presented a report against

the practice of raising chickens

and also a long document giving the facts of the appointment and doings of

those who were engaged in the movement.

He also made himself liable to the

editors anticipated Object to the

church with an organ.

He then adjourned.

Alberta Woods Goods.

Goods made exclusively of the fine

native wood and prepared at the Middagills mills, can

be seen at the company's warehouse in

the shape of blankets, tress, flannel, cloth,

yarn, wire, and stockings.

As the ladies of the date are

making a special effort to make the exterior

of their houses attractive, we

are confident that these goods will be

of great value to them.

Colby, Nov. 18, 1890.

A young Colby girl died and tan with

white hair and neck. Any person re-

storing the same will be rewarded. Any

one detaining the dog after this notice

will be prosecuted. Apply to T. STONE

Oct. 12.

Surgeon Wanted.

Girl to do general servants work.

Good wages. Apply to Mrs. J. H. Ferguson, corner of 10th St. and MacLean Avenue.

• • •

Bookkeeper Wanted.

Dining room girl wanted. Good

wages paid. Apply Windsor Hotel.

• • •

Fresh Eggs.

In quantities at the G. A. C. and C.

Cow Meat Market, Stephen Avenue, West.

• • •

Bookkeeper.

A young ladies to go out to do

darning during the day. Apply Miss

Terrence, 10th St., between Atlantic

and Stephen Avenue.

• • •

Dining Room Girl Wanted.

Dining room girl wanted. Good

wages paid. Apply Windsor Hotel.

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

• • •

DINNER TO JUDGE WENMORE.
The dinner to Judge Wenmore last evening, in the Judge's Chambers—the first dinner ever given in Calgary, by the way—was attended by all the prominent men in every respect. The spread reflected infinite credit on Mr. Marriag's skill and taste as caterer; and the wines, &c., were all that could be desired. The company were all good natured and in good spirits. The atmosphere was good—witty, humorous and in a fine spirit of good fellowship. The chair was occupied by Hon. Senator Lougheed, who had on his right the great Mr. Justice and of course J. P. of the Territories. The vice-chair was filled by Mr. J. H. Smith. C. P. Some time was spent in doing full justice to the estables, which being disposed of the tables were given and responded to all felicitously.

From the chair: "The Queen, all hands joined in singing God Save the Queen."

The guest of the evening: Senator Lougheed, making a short speech referring to the history of the country and the general association with which his decisions had been received throughout the Territories. Judge Wenmore responded and in the course of his remarks congratulated the bar of Calgary on having a man of such ability and experience as an associate.

The vice-chair: "The Irish Free-State," replied to by Mr. Nolan and Mr. George Alexander; followed by a song by Mr. Rogers, "Tim Flaherty."

The chair proposed "The English Bar," replied to by Mr. Cave.

The vice-chair: "The Queen, &c., of the Territories," responded to by Major Walker, the senior J. P., and Justices Murdoch, Shelton and Thomson; followed by "For they are jolly good fellows," a song made the round ring. Mr. Shaw of Edmonton also gave a Scotch song.

The chair offered: "The Manitoba and New Brunswick Bar," which was responded to by Judge Williams, and Mr. G. E. Ross, followed by a song, "The Red, White and Blue."

The vice-chair gave: "The Ontario Bar," replied to by Mr. Muir.

Judge Wenmore proposed the health of "The Northwest," responded to by Messrs. West and Haig.

The lawyer we need scarcely add, put in an Ait, West and were all round on time this morning.

C. A. H. STEPHENS.

A lawyer surprising party under Mr. Sykes started out south this morning to run another trial line, no decision having yet been reached in regard to the location this side of High River. The best line for traffic purposes is being sought, with the result that he has gone to Red Deer, a week hence 50 miles will have been laid, and this will be the work of only two months. About 22 miles per day were laid during each day the gang worked, and the road is in fairly satisfactory shape.

The lawyers we need scarcely add, put in an Ait, West and were all round on time this morning.

C. A. H. STEPHENS.

Toronto Nov. 13.—An Ottawa special to the Globe says an English syndicate is forming the plan of utilizing aluminum instead of steel for Lake Superior and constructing extensive works in the vicinity of Sault Ste. Marie.

The Waterworks.

The permanent engineer of the Waterworks Co., Mr. James Russell, of Glasgow, Scotland, has arrived and will have charge of the machinery at the works. Mr. Russell has been engaged in the fitting up machinery for steamships and has also had experience as engineer on ocean steamers. He has been recommended as just the man for the position to which he has been appointed. All the useful machinery and connections are now on the spot, and there is no delay in getting the engine house prepared during the present month. Considering the fact that the work was not commenced until June 7th it may be conjectured that rapid progress has been made. The equipm't is the most perfect of any waterworks in Canada.

A Passing Disease.

I suffered with neuritis and obtained no relief until advised to try Maygold's Yellow Oil. Since then I have found it to be an admirable remedy also for burns thro' and through.

Mrs. F. CARSON,

137 Richmond St. W., Toronto, On.

C. & E. HAWLEY.

A good many visitors have come into town, being part of the Man. Bann. and Algonquin outfit. Madigan's men will work on the National Park, while Mann Bros. men will get along on the Bow River and the Belly River. The grading to Red Deer is finished, and the whole of the grading (with the exceptions just named) are now north of Red Deer and will continue work as long as the fine weather holds. The most difficult section lies between the headwaters of the Prince Concessions and one of the tributaries of the Bow River. The 14 miles of Bed Rock road will reach the latter point within a week, about 31 miles being laid per day. The surfaces finished for the season when 40 miles of the most difficult grading outside will winter at the end of the day. The roads out, but the most of the grades will probably go east; also some no doubt will prefer to stay in the country in spring. The timber for the big bridge at Red Deer will be got out during the winter, and some 40 feet will be ready in the spring to commence work on the line south to Macleod.

Corred by a Cow.

A fine cow belonging to Mr. Peter Lindsay, Nixon, Ont., was badly hooked by a cow. Two bottles of Maygold's Yellow Oil cured it. This invaluable remedy should be in every house. It cures, sprains, bruises, burns, and all pains and aches in man or beast.

A abundant storage on the C. F. R.

Toronto Nov. 13.—The Canadian Pacific officials state that the storage of coal at Arthur for nearly 7,000,000 tons of coal and coke will be in every house; so there's no year of a blockade.

A Big Syndicate Forming.

Toronto Nov. 13.—An Ottawa special to the Globe says an English syndicate is forming the plan of utilizing aluminum instead of steel for Lake Superior and constructing extensive works in the vicinity of Sault Ste. Marie.

The English Bar.

London, Ont., Nov. 12.—The Canadian Pacific officials state that the storage of coal at Arthur for nearly 7,000,000 tons of coal and coke will be in every house; so there's no year of a blockade.

A Canadian Editor Dead.

London, Ont., Nov. 12.—Joseph B. Hart, managing editor of the Free Press is dead.

Further Democratic Gains.

(Special to THE HERALD.)

St. Paul, Minn., Nov. 12.—The latest election results give the Democrats South Dakota Legislature, both branches.

Ten Thousand as Far.

Winnipeg, Nov. 12.—Government immigration statistics compiled at the offices here show that over 10,000 immigrants settled in Manitoba since the beginning of the year.

Trade with Great Britain.

Ottawa, Nov. 12.—A prominent butter and egg manufacturer in the city has written to the Department of Agriculture asking that he be put in communication with export buyers here, believing that a large demand for his product at first

INANCY FROM ABSINTHE.

How the Infirmation from Absinthe Destroys the Mind of the Drinker.

The severest case of delirium tremens resulting from whisky is nothing compared with the effects of absinthe.

Yester evening a New York court

Admiralty is of Francis Lovell, a

and the most noted man in the

city. His son, Francis Lovell, Jr.,

is a noted man in the law.

He has been a well-known playwright,

and it was during the writing of a well-known play that he became a confirmed drunkard.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

He had seen a woman, and it was unable to

help him to忘記 any subject

he turned his mind to for a week.

When he turned his mind to

absinthe he was unable to

forget it for a week.

TELEGRAPHIC.

LOSS OF H. M. S. SERPENT.

Two Hundred and Seventy-Six Officers and Sailors Drawn.

London, Eng., Nov. 14.—H. M. S. Serpent was wrecked yesterday night 20 miles north of Cape Blanquart. 100 were drowned. Only three escaped.

The Serpent went on the rocks during a severe storm on the Spanish coast. It was impossible to obtain assistance from shore.

The vessel remained in a helpless position until broken to pieces by tremendous seas which swept over her.

The crew were washed overboard in groups by the remorseless waves and drowned or dashed to death amid the rocks.

News of the disaster was conveyed to Corunna, a distance of 60 miles, over mountain roads, and when a relief corps was organized and sent to the scene of the wreck it was too late to be of any use.

The Serpent's crew proper consisted of 179 men. The remaining victims were crew which were being conveyed to other British man-of-war at an African station.

Madrid, Nov. 13.—Three sailors who succeeded in swimming away from the wrecked British cruiser Serpent arrived at Corunna. They expressed the belief that all others were drawn.

SERIOUS CHARGES AGAINST H.M. C. ON THE COAST.

London, Eng., Nov. 14.—The official statement of the Admiralty Office concerning the loss of the Serpent is issued.

It agrees with the accounts already published.

Naval experts on the construction of the whole class of vessels to which the Serpent belonged, insist that safety and endurance were both sacrificed in this ship in order to make speed.

Advice from the week prior that a majority of the crew will be found inside the vessel. Vines, who is on their way from Egypt to begin the task of extricating the bodies. It appears to him a rush of water caused the hull to give up the ghost. This probably accounts for the great loss of life. It is believed that the navigator of the vessel failed to allow for the effect of the strong current that prevails at that point of the coast.

NOTICE TO SHIPS AND TRADES.

Ottawa, Nov. 14.—The Canadian press circulated a report to the effect that the steamer Linda from Montreal to New Castle, loaded with cattle, had lost over 200 head. The remainder of the cattle were held in pens on land through being affected with pneumonia.

No information of this kind has reached the Department of Agriculture.

Montreal, Nov. 14.—John P. Bennett, secretary of the Dominion Livestock Association, received a cablegram which refutes the rumor of pleuro-pneumonia among Canadian cattle. The remaining animals are in good condition and when they reached Newcastle the consignees refused to accept the drafts and the cattle had to be sold on shore.

A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS STOLEN.

Sherbrooke, Que., Nov. 13.—J. Pendleton, a wholesale grain and flour merchant, has abandoned his property in favor of Banque Nationale. Liabilities \$100,000.

Twenty Five Killed and Wounded.

Salem, Oregon, Nov. 13.—A Southern Pacific passenger, bound west, went through to the Pacific Northwest last night. Ten persons were killed and fifteen seriously injured.

NOTHING SMALL ABOUT BIRCHALL.

Toronto, Ont., Nov. 14.—Birchall, a prominent Canadian and four merchant, has abandoned his property in favor of Banque Nationale. Liabilities \$100,000.

A PRESENTATION.

One of the few interesting incidents that refers to the history of the life of a newspaper man occurred yesterday afternoon at the office of the Empire newspaper. Mr. Harry Livingston, who has been a reporter with that paper from the commencement, left his office on the Montreal Star, and his colleagues yesterday afternoon presented him with an address and a purse of appreciable proportions as a token of their regard and good wishes. Mr. Livingston, in a manly, frank manner, made the presentation in a cordial, characteristic address, in which the fact of Mr. Livingston's marriage yesterday was not mentioned. Livingston has a son, Madeline—Mrs. Livingston, who is daughter of H. House Whinney, of The Mail—Toronto Mail, No. 8.

GREAT ANXIETY FOR OVERSEAS STEAMERS.

Montreal, Nov. 14.—With the exception of the steamer Linda, all the ocean steamers have been reported. The Linda, Liverpool two weeks ago today with 100 passengers and much cargo, is lost or missing. There is shipping blockade in the Gulf of St. Lawrence.

Birchall maintained his innocence to the last and left a statement behind that all his legal confessions were false. He courage never failed him.

The post mortem disclosed the fact that Birchall died of strangulation; the neck was broken. The body was suspended six minutes. The jail surgeon's opinion is that Birchall felt nothing three seconds after he was shot.

Birchall maintained his innocence to the last and left a statement behind that all his legal confessions were false. He courage never failed him.

He also said the scaffold was a crude looking affair and weird looking. Birchall made no confession to any one and used an affidavit to that effect. He was hanged this morning.

Woodstock, Ont., Nov. 14.—Birchall maintained a jaunty, cautious, unaffected air to the test. He parted with his wife without a tear; the grief being all on the woman's side. He was unmoved by the ministrations of Rev. Mr. Wade and was launched into eternity apparently unprepared to meet his Maker. What flesh was there to serve him? Being a man in order to prevent him from becoming dangerous in society." He said. "I am a weak man, but I have a strong desire to do my duty to my God and man, and maintained that "a certain measure of pain is necessary to the soul in order to be born again." In 1872, in a parliamentary debate, he was asked if he was a Christian. He said, "Yes, I am a Christian." He was allowed to say that he was a Christian which impresses upon me that he is a man of great character.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

The scaffold was built of pine, not mahogany, and was a simple affair, consisting of two long planks, a central support, and a cross beam.

THE FIRE MUSTER PREVENTED.

It is not to be expected that the people of Alberta will submit to the burning up of all the pasture land in the country without a murmur. Within the last month more particularly, great disasters have fallen upon Alberta through those prairie fires. The grazing lands have been swept from Calgary to the Red Deer and a long way east; her pastures in the Bow valley, Nose Creek and other desirable points for grazing have been wiped out; fences and hay stacks have been burned, corrals and residences and barns threatened. Large bodies of men have been obliged to give up their time to fighting fire when they should have been engaged in other occupations, and the mental and physical worry and inconvenience has been very great. It is estimated that for several years past prairie fires have inflicted a loss on this country of a quarter to a half million dollars a year.

The origin of these fires is necessarily a subject to which men's minds revert at once, and along the lines of railway, operating or under construction, the charge is freely made that the most serious fires have been caused by sparks from locomotives. It is a common thing to accuse the railways of causing fires along the track, and the railways make much of the popular desire to saddle them with the responsibility. While the railway trains may not be fairly chargeable with all the fires, we incline to the opinion that they are to be credited with a good many of them. At all events, there is no accounting in any other way for some of them, the passage of the trains and the starting of the fires being simultaneous. Certainly men who have given much attention to the subject and who are in no way interested in seeing the railways unjustly charged with what may be the fault of others, have come to the conclusion that in many instances the railways are blameable.

That the railways may be cleared of all blame their owners and managers should hasten to seek such amendment of the Dominion Railway Act as will keep them absolutely free from fault in the matter. They should take such precautions against dropping fire from their locomotives as to render this impossible. They should, through prairie country, double the fire guards, ploughing at least fifty feet on either side. Finally, they should consent to legislation which will put on them the onus of proving that they have neglected nothing. This they can do by means of their own staff when they are in the right, whereas it is the most difficult thing in the world in a sparsely settled country to bring home their wrong doing to them under the law as it now stands. If the railways are wise they will consent to such legislation, which after all is only fair and in the interest of justice. The railways are rich and powerful and may carry law suits from court to court and delay decisions and embarrass suitors as to ruin men who may have honest claims for injury done.

The Regina Leader, the Qu'Appelle, Virdette, the Winnipeg Free Press, the Victoria, B. C. Colonist, all condemn the action of the majority at Regina. In fact, only portion of the press supporting the ring is that portion of the Territorial press which members of the ring claim to control. The article of the Winnipeg Free Press,—a Liberal journal not second in standing and influence to any in Canada,—is particularly able and outspoken. We shall give extracts from those prominent newspapers at an early date.

The Toronto Mail commenced on Thursday last to publish Birchall's account of his life. While the production is creditable to Birchall's nerve, seeing that it is the composition of a man while standing under the shadow of the gallows, the earlier chapters are interesting in no other sense.

The Tribune publishes and fathers an article by Mr. Cayley in which Governor Royal is very bitterly attacked for what is called "an outrage on the fundamental principles of constitutional liberty." This comes from one of the members of the Ring at Regina who are boycotting four of the members of the Legislature for a difference of opinion over the interpretation of an act of Parliament favoring the grossest hypocrisy. As every one knows, the Governor can do nothing except what he is doing. He acts on his instructions from Ottawa. If there is anything wrong in the Governor's course, the Federal Government is to blame. There is no getting over this; and the attack on the Governor, therefore, are mean and shabby beyond description. The Federal is really fighting the Government under the pretence of attacking the Governor. It is the authority of the Federal Government it desires to undermine, altho' it dare not say so, knowing well that the Government is popular with the people of the Northwest because of its aid to railways and its liberal expenditure for public purposes. It is time, however, that the Tribune came out into the open and revealed itself as the malignant enemy of a Conservative Government. It has been fighting under cover quite long enough for a journal receiving the Government patronage.

TUESDAY NIGHT'S CONCERT.

Those who had a good concert to be present at the Mendoncello Quintette Club concert at the Opera Hall on Tuesday night, enjoyed a musical treat which did not compare with a quarter to one, with Mendoncello's Quintette in A, (op. 15) which was most ingeniously rendered by the members of the club. Miss Pauline Baker followed with Macmillan's "Cossai in Ia," which sang most in artistic fashion, and in response to a well deserved ovation, sang the old favorite "The Girl I Left Behind Me." Mr. Macmillan, who had visited Calgary for the first time, is possessed of a rich voice of great compass, and the audience spell-bound by his performance, asked him to sing again. He sang two pieces of hunting Mie-Horard again. Mr. Paul Jenison played a fantasia on "La File des Regiments" on the violincello, and evidence was given of the skill of the leader. After the quartet, (op. 18) (Gossett), the final portion of the program concluded with a duet fantasia "Caroline en Valois" in which Mr. Paul Jenison and Miss Pauline Baker, who had just assumed the name of Mrs. Baker, added to their desire to saddle them with the responsibility. While the railway trains may not be fairly chargeable with all the fires, we incline to the opinion that they are to be credited with a good many of them. At all events, there is no accounting in any other way for some of them, the passage of the trains and the starting of the fires being simultaneous. Certainly men who have given much attention to the subject and who are in no way interested in seeing the railways unjustly charged with what may be the fault of others, have come to the conclusion that in many instances the railways are blameable.

That the railways may be cleared of all blame their owners and managers should hasten to seek such amendment of the Dominion Railway Act as will keep them absolutely free from fault in the matter. They should take such precautions against dropping fire from their locomotives as to render this impossible. They should, through prairie country, double the fire guards, ploughing at least fifty feet on either side. Finally, they should consent to legislation which will put on them the onus of proving that they have neglected nothing. This they can do by means of their own staff when they are in the right, whereas it is the most difficult thing in the world in a sparsely settled country to bring home their wrong doing to them under the law as it now stands. If the railways are wise they will consent to such legislation, which after all is only fair and in the interest of justice. The railways are rich and powerful and may carry law suits from court to court and delay decisions and embarrass suitors as to ruin men who may have honest claims for injury done.

The following is the trial record of the case of Mr. Miller vs. Mr. McMillen, for damages for assault and battery.

Mr. Miller, plaintiff, brought an action for damages for assault and battery against Mr. McMillen, defendant, on the 18th instant, in the Court of Queen's Bench, at Regina, for damages for assault and battery.

Mr. McMillen, defendant, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

Mr. McMillen, plaintiff, filed a written answer, denying the facts of the case, and also filed a written defence.

